P

G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

G. F. 102-15 & 304.
B. April 16. 1916-17
(G. F.)

File No. 25-16

Serial Nos. 2 & 15

Later references.

G. F. 25-16 & 15831.
B. March 17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

P:13
E

Serial Nos. 2 and 15

Part B for November 1916.

SUBJECT.

Representation of Mr. N. Krishnaswami Rao, Asst Comptroller, for the grant to him of the local allowance of Rs 50

G 15 " Ms order:-

States that Mr. N. Krishnaswami Rao can draw the allowance only after passing the Finance Department Examinat.

10

W D 1351—GPB—20,000—13-12-15

Mr. Krishnaswami Rao receipt
13-11-1916.

G. R. S. 11] 1916-17

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(G.7)

NOTES.

File No. 25-16

FILE HEADING.

Gazetted Staff of the Comptroller's Office

From

The Comptroller to Government

No. 220/A-18 Dated 8/12-7-16 Received 12-7-16

Diary No. 116 | Enclos. 2 Spare Copies. | Serial No. 2

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for orders the representation of Mr. N. Krishnaswami Rao, Asst Comptroller, for the grant thrice of the local allowance of Rs 50 under S. G.O. no 7250 of 7-4-16

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Mr Krishnaswami Rao's memorandum contains full discussion of all the aspects of the case, and the Comptroller is in favor of the claim.

2. Submitted for orders. In case it is held that the officer is entitled to the allowance,

NOTES—First Sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.7 File No. 25 of 1916.

Serial No.

~~tests~~ tests and as he could not get the allowance under this order until he passed them.

6. The whole situation was however changed when Govt was disposed to sanction a local allowance to Mr Venkat Ranjaen who ~~had not~~ was transferred to the Comptr's office from the Excise Dept and had not passed the Account Dept's Exns. ~~do Go~~ As he could not get the allowance under the G.O. of 17.9.13 it was revised and ~~the G.O. itself was revised~~ the G.O. of 7.4.16 was issued allowing Mr Venkat Ranjaen the allowance of Rs 50 ~~Sals~~ and stating that fully qualified asstt Coms transferred from the General line to the Comptr's office should also get it in future.

7. Mr Krishnaswamy Rao's claim to the local allowance is based on this order. ~~There has was no change in his work or his~~ ~~he carries~~ Though the ~~work~~ he was doing and the other conditions of his appointment remain taking the order as it stands the Comptr has interpreted that Mr K Rao cannot get the allowance under it. Talking

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G. F. File No. 25 of 1916.

Serial No.

into account the intentions of Govt in issuing the order it is seen that it was passed to facilitate the transfer of an officer from some other Department to the Comptr's office. As Mr Krishnasami Nair has been working in the Account office for a long time past, there was no need to give any allowance to him for facilitating his transfer thereto. Under this view there would be no need to grant him any allowance.

2 But on general considerations, there is no reason to differentiate between Mr Krishnasami Nair & Mr Venkatarya. Both are Asstt Comrs — a class which includes officers of this grade in all depts — and neither of them has passed the Exns in Accounts and it is not clear why one should get an allowance while the other should not.

3 It may however be pointed out that by giving officers who have not passed in the Accounts Exns the allowance of Rs 50, an Asstt Comr will have no inducement to pass these Exns and if Govt set any value on these Exns being passed, it would be desirable to the conditions relating to the grant of the allowance will

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | G.7 File No. 25 of 1916.

Serial No.	
	have to be altered or a higher rate of allowance sanctioned to one who passes the exam?
	10. Even if it is held that under the G.O. of 7.4.16. Mr K. Ram is not entitled to it, he would become eligible for it, if he is transferred for one day to the B'lore Dt Treasury ~~of Dt~~ and then retransferred to the Comptr's office. This shows that there is no rational principle in treating all Asst Comrs as belonging to one ~~class~~ list but at the same time ~~denying~~ restricting the local allowance to ~~one~~ an Asst Comr who is transferred to the Delhi Deptt from ~~elsewhere~~ elsewhere. Mr Krishnaswami Rao's claim will have to be allowed under these circumstances, as Govt has ~~withdrawn from~~ taken up the position that merely serving in the Comptr's office and not the passing of the Acctt Deptt exam is the criterion for granting the allowance.
S	F.C.Sen 18.7.16
	11. This case is not quite analoguus to that of Mr. S. Venkatarangam who had no opportunities hitherto to qualify himself for the Account Department by passing the Government of India, Finance

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—70,000—17-12-15

No. 116

It is requested that in future communications on the subject, the No. and date of this letter, and the distinguishing letters, below the number as given opposite, may be quoted and addressed to the Comptroller, Mysore Government.

the communications.

No. R 18 220

FORM Encl: Nil

OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER, MYSORE GOVERNMENT,

Dated Bangalore, the 8th July 1916

M. N. Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,

DIWAN BAHADUR J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.,

Offg. *Comptroller to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.*

To Rajamantrapravina Diwan Bahadur J. S. Chakravarti, Esq., M.A., F.R.A.S., Etc

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Financial Department.

SIR,

I have the honour to state that Mr. N. Krishnaswami Rao Assistant Comptroller, claimed the local allowance of Rs. 50/- per mensem, sanctioned in Government Order No. FL 5250/8f.102-16-4 dated 7th April 1916 and his claim was considered to be inadmissible by the Audit Department of this Office. Copies of the memorandum of disallowance and Mr. Krishnaswami Rao's representation thereon are both submitted for the information of Government.

2. Whether the officer worked as an Assistant Commissioner or not in the General line before his transfer to the Account office does not appear to me to be a material factor for deciding his claim to the local allowance. It has to be presumed that only officers considered competent for employment in the Account Department are transferred to it. Accordingly an Assistant Commissioner fully qualified for the general service and posted for work in the Comptroller's Office is, I think, entitled to the allowance under the Government Order of 7th April 1916. A different view however of the claim being held by the Audit Department of this office, I submit Mr. Krishnaswami Rao's representation for

the

GPB—2,000—25-8-14

C. O. 42-Rec.—17]

.....Department

191 .

FROM

DIWAN BAHADUR

~~J. S. CHAKRAVARTI, ESQ., M.A.~~

~~F.R.A.S., ETC.,~~

The Comptroller to the Govt. of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

To

..... ~~Esq.~~,

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Financial Dept.

Dated 8th July 1916.

Despatched

Received 22/7/16

No. A. 18.

Subject matter.

Forwarded for orders of Government the representation of Mr. N. Krishnaswami Rao for the grant of Local Allowance to him.

Re: my claim to the Local allowance sanctioned to Assistant Commissioners in the Comptroller's Office in G. O. No. Fl 5250/Gen. Fin. 102-15-14 dated 7th April 1916.

The Gazetted Audit Department have disallowed the Local allowance, claimed by me in my April pay bill on the grounds mentioned below. I am respectfully of opinion, that none of the reasons set forth are strong and that the G. O. referred to lends no support to the construction put upon it by the G.A.D. I take up the reasons and deal with the-m below.

Reason No. 1. "The condition precendent to draw the local allowance under the G.O. referred to is that prior to his transfer to the Account Office, he should have been a fully qualified Assistant Commissioner working in the general line"

I beg to submit with respect to this that

i. I was a fully qualified Assistant Commissioner at the time of my transfer to this office and also that

ii. I was working in the general line namely the General and Revenue Secretariat.

What perhaps is meant is, that I was not fully qualified in the sense that I was only an acting Assistant Commissioner at the time of transfer. I venture to submit that the full qualification referred to in the Government Order has reference only to examination qualifications and not to the tenure of appointment. Else it would have the sad effect of making it a misfortune to remain in the Comptroller's Office at the time of one's confirmation. For instance, suppose A & B are acting Assistant Commissioners in the order of their rank and A is transferred to the Comptroller's Office. A month after, both are confirmed and B is also posted to the same office. Then according to the ruling in question, A would not get the local allowance while B would. The injustice of this is apparent. I am at a loss to know why an acting tenure in the Comptroller's Office should be so penalised and I do not think the Government order intended it.

he holds in the Account office is an Assistant Commissioner's charge".

I regret I am unable to follow this objection. If it means that I merely hold an Assistant Commissioner's charge but am not an Assistant Commissioner myself, all that I have to say is that it does not represent fact.

For the foregoing reasons I request that if the Comptroller agrees with me, he may kindly pass the allowance reporting the matter to Government if he thinks fit or submit the case to them for orders with his kind recommendation.

Sd. N. Krishnaswami Rao,
Assistant Comptroller
27--5--1916

"True copy"
Assistant Superintendent
Records, Civil Branch.

SDV/10

G. R. S. 26]

File No. 25-16

Serial No. 15

Diary No. of letter replied to 116

Draft

No. H 2312

Dated 6th November 16

General and Revenue Secretariat

(G.7)

, the 191 .

To

The Comptroller to Govt

Sir,

Date of issue 6-11-16

Signed by [illegible]

Paperwriter

Despatcher

With reference to your letter no. 220/R.I.B.Q/8/12-7-16 submitting for the orders of Govt the representation of Mr N. Krishnaswami Rao Assistant Comptroller regarding his title to the local allowance of Rs 50/- p.m. admissible to Asst Comrs posted to the Account Office, I am directed to state that Govt consider that Mr Krishnaswami Rao can draw the allowance referred to only after passing the Finance Department Examination.

I have &c

Fin'l Secretary

30/10/16

SUBJECT.

Asst 30/10